

SERIAL NUMBER

FILING DATE



FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	(08/091,092	07/12/93	DIPOTO		G	3153403	
						JACKSON, CINER		
33M1/0201 DOUGLAS E. DENNINGER							,	
		AMERICAN CY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		PATENT LAW I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	1/	
		ONE CYANAMII NAYNE. NEW J	J PLAZA JERSEY 07470	-8426		3309	,,	
		, , , , ,				DATE MAILED:	02/01/95	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS								
				•				
This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 11-18-94 This action is made final.								
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.								
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133								
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(8) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:								
1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948.								
š.	\$. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152.							
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.								
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION								
1. Claims								
are pending in the application								
		Of the above, e	cialms			are w	Ithdrawn from consideration.	
2.		Claims		••			have been cancelled.	
3.		Claims					are allowed.	
4.	Ø	Claims 1, 6, 18-22, 31, 36-37442					are rejected.	
ß.		Claims are objected to.						
	П							
•	_	Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.						
7.	П	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.						
a.		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.						
9.							R. 1.84 these drawings	
	9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 thesare are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).							
10.		The proposed additio	nal or substitute sheet	(s) of drawings, file	d on	has (have) been [approved by the '	
			proved by the examine				•••	
11.		The proposed drawing	g correction, filed on _		, hips been 🔲 appr	oved. disapprove	d (see explanation).	
12.					`,		red not been received	
			nt application, serial n					
	_							
13.	L		appears to be in cond practice under Ex parts			ters, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in	
44		Other	·	,				
14.	_	Other						

Serial Number: 08/091,092

Art Unit: 3309

1. The indicated allowability of claims 18-22, 31, 36, 37 and 42 is withdrawn in view of the prior art to Hathurst et al. The delay in citation of this art is regretted. Rejections based on the prior art follow.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 6, 18, 31, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Gatturna '615. Figure 25 discloses a suture anchor and insertion tool. Ridge 113A may inherently engage a bone. The distal region 111A has a successively narrow cross- section

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Serial Number: 08/091,092

Art Unit: 3309

4. Claims 1, 4, 18-20, 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Hayhurst et al '422. Column 3, lines 53-55, discloses a tool is inserted in the proximal region to push the anchor member into the bone. It would have been within the purview or obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to engage the proximal region of the anchor. Each figure discloses a tapering portion and at least one ridge. Concerning claim 4, such interlocking between socket and driver is well-known. It would have been obvious to provide Hayhurst with such well interlocking means. Concerning claim 18, see figure 18.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 308-4302.

PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3300

Gary Jackson/gj January 27, 1995